

University of Oregon
Lewis Integrative Science Building
Programming Phase

Coordinating User Group Meeting 1

Visioning Pre-Meeting

Wednesday, January 7 2009

Present:

CUG Members:

Jim Hutchison (co-chair)
Mark Lonergan
Heiner Linke
Allen Malony (for Andrzej Proskurowski)
Mike Jefferis
Helen Neville
George Sprague
Rick Glover
Dietrich Belitz
Bruce Bowerman
Lou Moses (co-chair)
Mike Haley

UO Representatives

Fred Tepfer
Emily Eng
Martina Oxoby

Consultants

Roger Snyder
Chuck Cassell
Regina Filipowicz
Thom Hacker
Steve Simpson
Laurie Canup
Becca Cavell
Mark Penrod
Dave Knighten
Bruce Johnson

Notes

- 1.1 Roger Snyder welcomed the group and introduced the consultant team. The CUG and UO representatives introduced themselves.
- 1.2 Becca Cavell and Chuck Cassell outlined the upcoming design phases and project schedule.
 - The first phase will be a program confirmation phase building on the work that Fred Tepfer has already done with the CUG.
 - This will be followed by the conventional design phases of Schematic Design (SD), Design Development (DD) and Construction Documents (CDs).
 - The CUG's time will be primarily needed during programming, SD and DD. At the end of DD they will be asked to approve the documents which will then form the basis of the CD phase which is the technical drawing phase for the design team.
 - Chuck noted that the lab design needs to be several paces ahead of the rest of the building as it will drive many technical requirements. This means that the User Groups will need to provide early, accurate information on

these spaces. An iterative process will enable Users to review and comment on the design team's work.

- 1.3 The CUG was asked to discuss its high level vision for this project.
- Lou Moses and the group discussed the need for the building to support Integrative Science and to create opportunities for interaction.
 - Jim Hutchison talked about understanding other buildings and how people interact in them – the Willamette Atrium is successful but LISB should elevate interaction to the next level.
 - This needs to be a high performance building
 - Needs to create connections to the existing buildings
 - Recognize the challenges of connecting to, and being located near, the Lokey Lab – the possible technological conflicts between the buildings.
 - This is a real opportunity re: information and data management. How will the building (and its occupants) collect, use, archive and share data?
 - The building should create truly collaborative spaces – the different users can reach out to one another. For example perhaps graduate students from various disciplines share a single space?
 - Spaces should be very adaptable and flexible
 - Helen Neville talked about ongoing work between various scientists studying memory and attention that crosses research boundaries – can the building be VERTICALLY INTEGRATED in a similar way?
 - Chuck asked if this work resulted in, for example, three separate labs each studying different aspects of the same issue – or a single lab housing diverse disciplines all researching the same subject?
 - Chuck proposed that the lab spaces in the new building be somewhat generic.
 - The group talked about creating a building that encourages interaction on all levels and between all levels
 - It could be possible to be quite radical and get diverse disciplines to share space. At the same time, this needs to be effective and meaningful, not chaotic.
 - George Sprague noted that the Streisinger atrium is a very successful space.
- 1.4 Chuck Cassell noted that while the programming phase would build on the document prepared in early planning sessions, the team would be looking for new thoughts and ideas.
- 1.5 The meeting adjourned at 12 noon.

END OF NOTES